

RainfallChloride

Rainfall chloride at 85 South African sites, with a fitted prediction model – in-browser app •
Hydrogeological Repository

What it does

RainfallChloride puts chloride concentration in rainfall behind a map, at 85 South African sites across four sources, and behind a fitted model that predicts it anywhere in the country from distance to the coast and elevation. Find sites by quaternary catchment, or by distance from a town or a coordinate; shade the map by observed or predicted chloride; see the predicted distribution across whatever area you searched; and check the model's own leave-one-out record before trusting it. Built on model/, a separate research pipeline with its own README, validation scripts and a record of approaches tried and rejected.

The four sources do not give the same kind of number – DWS spot samples read 2.53× higher than Van Wyk's network over the same ground, and CSIR about 2× higher again, for reasons not yet resolved. The app tells every source apart on the map and in every export, rather than blending them into one undifferentiated dot, and the model trains only on the 22 sites measuring the one convention a chloride mass balance actually needs.

Where the data comes from

Source	Sites	Convention	What it holds
DWS	57	Arithmetic mean, spot samples	Dissolved chloride from the Department of Water and Sanitation's rainwater-chemistry monitoring network, 2003–2008. 157 dated samples, 1–7 per site. Not used to fit the model.
Van Wyk	19	Harmonic mean, reweighted	Van Wyk's thesis network. Reweighted from an equal seasonal average to the true rainfall-depth fractions (Van Wyk et al. 2011) – trains the model.
Sandveld	4	Precip-weighted (3) / bulk (1)	West Coast rain gauges, coordinates and elevations printed exactly in the source table. Three train the model.
CSIR	5	Unknown	Digitised from a CSIR map figure. Shown for context, left out of the colour scale and the model.

What you get

Output	Detail
Map	Every site over the quaternary catchments, shaded by observed chloride (red) or predicted chloride (blue), or both together. The symbol says which source the figure comes from.
Search	By quaternary catchment, town or coordinate - all three resolve to a point and a 10 to 200 km radius (a quaternary's own centroid stands in for the point), so the same circle, zoom and predicted-chloride distribution apply to all three.
Selection	Click a site, or a row in the list, to select it; clicking it again clears it. One site at a time - the detail panel, the report and the LOO highlight all follow it.
Site detail	Name, coordinates, elevation, quaternary, source, measurement convention, the reported chloride figure, and the model's prediction under that <i>same</i> site's own convention with its 68% interval - a fair comparison, since the convention is what the model actually predicts. Plus the dated sample table where one exists.

Output	Detail
Prediction	A “Predict as” control picks the convention; click anywhere on the map for a reading, or shade a national grid by predicted value - the grid is its own surface, not painted onto the quaternary catchments, so it stays on when catchment outlines are switched off and never implies chloride respects catchment boundaries.
Distribution	The current search radius - not just the sites inside it - sampled and histogrammed through the model under the active convention, whichever of the three search modes found it.
Validation	A button that plots all 85 database sites against their genuine leave-one-out prediction, shaded by a $\pm 1\sigma$ band. The selected site is always highlighted and follows the selection live.
Report	A standalone, printable page of the current view - map, selection, distribution and validation - opened in a new tab.
Exports	CSV of the whole site catalogue, of every dated sample, or of the current search results; PNG of the map.

Reading the map symbols

Symbol	Source	What it means
Circle	DWS	A monitoring point with dated, repeated samples. 57 sites.
Diamond	Van Wyk	A dedicated collector network, reweighted for the model. 19 sites.
Triangle	Sandveld	West Coast rain gauges, mostly precipitation-weighted. 4 sites.
Square (dimmed)	CSIR	A different, uncorrected convention – shown for context, off the colour scale. 5 sites.

How a run works

Step	What happens
1. Find sites	Search by catchment, town or coordinate, then select a site on the map or from the list. Every search also draws the predicted-chloride distribution for its radius.
2. Export	CSV of the catalogue, the raw samples, or the current search results.
3. Report	A standalone page of the current view, ready to print or save as PDF.

Predicting chloride from distance to coast and elevation

chloride.db carries two fitted models (model/README.md); this app predicts with the **conventional** one, not the narrower physical one, because it is the one that can answer “what would this measurement convention report here” - the question a map, a click anywhere, or an area distribution all actually ask. Fitted on all 85 database sites by Huber robust regression, geography plus a per-convention offset: **$CI = \exp(1.866 - 0.192 \cdot \log(1 + \text{distance_km}) - 0.000446 \cdot \text{elevation_m} + \text{offset}[\text{convention}])$** . Leave-one-out $R^2(\log)$ 0.537, MAE 2.78 mg/L, median fold error $1.32\times$ – noisier than a single-convention fit only because mixing five conventions is inherently noisier, not because the model is worse; adding the convention offset alone lifts R^2 from 0.291 to 0.537 (see the validation panel).

The other model (model_coefficients, trained on the 22 sites measuring the precipitation-weighted convention only) answers a different, narrower question - the physical quantity a chloride mass balance needs - and is not what this app predicts with; see model/README.md if that is the question you have.

The map's own shading is a grid of its own, not painted onto the quaternary catchments - chloride is continuous with distance and elevation and has nothing to do with catchment boundaries, so colouring catchment-shaped

patches would have implied a precision the model does not have. It stays visible whether or not the catchment outlines themselves are switched on. Each elevation-grid cell is split three ways per axis for the shading, to about 9 km cells, with elevation and distance-to-coast interpolated within each cell: a smoother surface off the same ~28 km source data, not finer data.

Predicted-chloride distribution

Selecting a quaternary catchment, a town or a coordinate samples 250 points across that search's own radius – the circle itself, not just the sites inside it (a quaternary centres the circle on the catchment's own centroid) – looks up distance-to-coast and elevation for each, and histograms the model's prediction under the active “Predict as” convention. This is a Monte Carlo summary of what the model says about the area, not a measurement.

Entirely in your browser

Unlike RainfallArchive, this dataset is small enough – 85 sites, 157 dated samples, 1 943 towns, a 1 805-point elevation grid and a simplified coastline – to download once at boot and hold in memory. Every search, the model, the distribution and the site-detail panel all run locally; there is no per-site request to the server once the app has loaded. The leave-one-out diagnostic is the one exception: refitting Huber-robust regression 85 times needs statsmodels, so it is precomputed at build time and only fetched, not recomputed, when the validation button is used.

What says the compilation is sound

Each DWS site's figure was checked against Van Wyk's own compiled average.

For 56 of the 57 overlapping sites, the mean computed here from the raw dated samples matches the chloride average already compiled in Van Wyk's own spreadsheet to within 0.008 mg/L. The one exception, site 1000009151, differs by about 1 mg/L – closer to that site's single most recent sample than to the mean of all seven – and is reported here as the plain mean, reproducible from the samples table rather than carried through unexplained.

The convention offset is doing real work, not just absorbing noise.

Leave-one-out with geography alone scores R^2 0.291; adding the per-convention offset lifts it to 0.537, and fitting every convention in total isolation (no cross-convention pooling at all, the ceiling) only reaches 0.557 - so the shared geography term is carrying most of what there is to learn once the convention level is accounted for.

Limitations worth knowing

- **R² 0.537 is real, not a small-sample artefact**, but it means a single prediction is often well off - the fold-error table in the validation panel shows several sites out by 5× or more, mostly the handful of sites whose convention has too few examples (n=1 for cumulative bulk) to fit a reliable offset from.
- **The CSIR and cumulative-bulk offsets rest on very few sites** (5 and 1) and `model_convention_offsets.reliable` flags the latter 0 for exactly that reason - treat predictions under those two conventions as indicative only.
- **Measurement convention, not geography, is the dominant signal in this dataset**. DWS spot samples read 2.53× higher than Van Wyk's network at the same distance-to-coast and elevation - which is precisely why this app predicts under a chosen convention rather than pretending one number fits every source.
- **One DWS point returned no chloride result**. Monitoring point 1000009148 (Mispah) was sampled but its chloride result is blank, so it has nothing to show and is left out entirely.
- **One Van Wyk site falls outside every quaternary polygon**. `Eddie_A`, near Cape Point, keeps a blank quaternary rather than a wrong one.
- **The elevation grid is coarse**, about 28 km, cached from a public elevation API rather than a high-resolution DEM – adequate next to the model's own elevation coefficient, but not survey grade.
- **Only chloride is carried through**. The rest of the DWS rainwater-chemistry suite, and the wider thesis material on seasonal and regional patterns, is not represented here.

Credit

The records are the work of the Department of Water and Sanitation's rainwater-chemistry monitoring programme, C.J. van Wyk's thesis and its companion paper (van Wyk, van Tonder & Vermeulen 2011, Water SA 37(2)), and the CSIR. Bredenkamp & Vogel (2007, WRC KV 177/07) supplied four measured values used to externally validate `model/README.md`'s other, physical model - not the conventional one this app predicts with, but built from the same database and worth the same care. This app compiles and presents that work and claims no authorship of it.

Beta.

RainfallChloride is published for evaluation and feedback. Check any figure you rely on against the original record before using it in an assessment.